

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT  
IN THE COUNTY OF LA PAZ, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

---

In the Matter of: )  
)  
ADOPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION ) Administrative Order  
OF PRESUMPTIVE STANDARDS FOR ) No. 202200009  
REMOTE AND IN-PERSON HEARINGS )  
FOR THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE )  
COURTS IN LA PAZ COUNTY )  
)  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

In June 2021, the Arizona Supreme Court’s COVID-19 Continuity of Court Operations During a Public Health Emergency Workgroup (Plan B Workgroup) recommended best practices that should be retained or adapted post-pandemic, which included a recommendation that courts continue to use and expand technology to conduct remote court proceedings. In January 2022 the workgroup reconvened and issued a report, *Recommended Remote and In-Person Hearings in Arizona State Courts in the Post-Pandemic World* (“Report”) which includes as Appendix 1 recommendations regarding which hearing types should be held remotely and which should be held in person (“Presumptive Standards”).

On April 27, 2022, the Supreme Court issued Administrative Order No. 2022-46 adopting the Presumptive Standards as set forth in Appendix 1 of the Report and requires the presiding judge of the superior court in each county to issue an administrative order adopting standards for the justice of the peace courts in their county regarding which hearing types will be held remotely and which hearing types will be held in person. Administrative Order No. 2022-46 allows the presiding judge of the superior court to adapt the Presumptive Standards as necessary due to limitations in local court resources, bandwidth, technology hardware, software, and staffing or, for good cause, to meet unique needs in their respective counties.

Therefore, having consulted with the presiding justice of the peace in La Paz County, pursuant to Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2022-46,

IT IS ORDERED adopting the Chart attached hereto as the presumptive manner for holding hearings set on or after October 1, 2022 in the justice of the peace courts in La Paz County.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that hearings in the justice of the peace courts in La Paz County must be held in the presumptive manner, but a judge assigned to a case may make a hearing-specific deviation from the presumptive manner in which a hearing must be held if holding the hearing in the presumptive manner is not practical or otherwise not in the interest of justice. The court must provide notice to the parties when such an alternative is utilized.

Dated this 6<sup>th</sup> date of September, 2022



eSigned by QUICKLE, JESSICA L. 09/06/2022 10:02:53 MWSnNOPm

---

Honorable Jessica L. Quickle  
Presiding Judge, Superior Court of La Paz County

# Appendix 1

## Recommended Remote and In-Person Hearings in the Post-Pandemic World by Case Type and Hearing Type

Case Type	Hearing Type	Remote	In-Person
<i>Proceedings Under Arizona Rules of Protective Order Procedure</i>			
	Ex Parte Hearing	X	
	Contested Protective Order [Evidentiary] Hearing		X
	Other	X	
<i>Proceedings Under the Arizona Rules of Procedure for Eviction Actions</i>			
	Initial Appearance	X <sup>2</sup>	
	Jury Selection		X
	Jury Trial		X
	Bench Trial	X	
	Writ of Restitution	X	
	Post-Judgment	X	
<i>Proceedings Under the Arizona Rules of Small Claims Procedure</i>			
	Hearing	X	
	Alternative Dispute Resolution	X	
<i>Proceedings Under the Arizona Justice Court Rules of Civil Procedure</i>			
	Pre-trial/Motion – Non-witness	X	
	Pre-trial/Motion – Witness		X
	Mediation Conference	X	
	Settlement Conference	X	
	Jury Selection		X
	Jury Trial		X
	Bench Trial	X	
	Other	X	

<sup>2</sup> By statute:

Notwithstanding any other law, in a special detainer or forcible detainer proceeding before the court, any party, including an attorney or witness upon written notice to the court, shall be permitted to participate at the initial appearance remotely by using a telephone or video conference connection. If the court continues a contested matter to a later date, at the discretion of the court, the court may require all parties, attorneys and witnesses to participate in person.

Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 22-206.

## Appendix 1

### Recommended Remote and In-Person Hearings in the Post-Pandemic World by Case Type and Hearing Type

Case Type	Hearing Type	Remote	In-Person
<i>Limited Jurisdiction Proceedings Involving Criminal Misdemeanor Charges; under the Rules of Court Procedure for Civil Traffic, Boating, Marijuana and Parking and Standing Violations (CTBMPSV) and Juvenile Hearing Officer Proceedings</i>			
<i>Criminal Misdemeanor</i>			
	Appearance/Arrestment/Initial	X	
	Pre-trial Motion – Non-witness	X	
	Pre-trial/Motion – Witness		X
	Change of Plea/Sentencing	X	
	Pre-trial Conference	X	
	Order to Show Cause		X
	Case Management Conference/Trial Preparedness Conference	X	
	Settlement Conference	X	
	Jury Trial		X
	Bench Trial		X
	Probation Violation Arrestment	X	
	Probation Violation Hearing		X
	Probation Violation Disposition		X
	Other – Non-witness	X	
	Other – Witness		X
	Bond Forfeiture	X	
<i>CTBMPSV</i>			
	Arrestment	X	
	Trial/Contested Hearing		X
	Photo Enforcement Hearing	X	
	Other (including ID Hearings, Local Ordinance, Parking)	X	
<i>Juvenile Hearing Officer Proceedings</i>		X	